ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 005

Abstract/Paper Title: Augmenting Reality

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			7
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 6,5				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The educational theme of learning design communication skills through the interaction between architectural design visualization and archival documentation and preservation is interesting in a DCA context.

However, the abstract does not give very clear information about the actual project-approach and in the text a limited number of issues ("leverage, skillset, non-invasive") is repeated in the abstract text.

The project deserves to be more clearly and concisely documented for it to be included: needs work…

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(X) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 005

Abstract/Paper Title: Augmenting reality….

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row,
1. Proposed abstract/pa	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Well written abstract, strong proposal, good emphasis on experiential learning and bringing technology outside the studio. High recommend.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 005

Abstract/Paper Title: Augmenting Reality: Mediating the virtual and actual in heritage interpretation

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	v			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	v			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	v			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	v			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			v	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	v			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 90				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
- Valuable topic
- Missing references and in-text citations
- What are students' experiences through this project and their feedback?

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(v) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**