

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 015**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Ingenuity as an identifier of heritage: content and communication**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	v			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	v			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	v			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	v			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		v		4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	v			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			10
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 93</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

- Missing references and in-text citations
- The topic seems to be valuable

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- (v ) 1. Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- ( ) 2. Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- ( ) 3. Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 015**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Ingenuity as an Identifier of Heritage**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			x	4
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			x	5
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 5,5</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The abstract lists the conditions of heritage identification and places expectations on a 'ingenuity-based' approach.

However, what – in this context – is the definition of 'ingenuity' and how should it be made instrumental in the assessment of the broad theme of Heritage? What is the proposed method and to what extent are designerly visualization approaches made instrumental? What normative and procedural approaches may truly be anticipated, or: should be developed?

Doubts in the context of the DCA conference themes.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 015**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Ingenuity as an identifier...**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			X	2
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	2
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			X	2
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	2
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	2
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			X	2
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 26</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

This abstract is very unclear. If revisions are made, the author should better address a basic foundation for the argument, describing how definitions of “ingenuity” are reductive or harmful in current form, then the author should systematically offer evidence to that point, situated within the broader conversation on historic preservation and with specific references cited.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**