

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 018**

**Abstract/Paper Title: binary body device**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	v			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	v			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	v			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	v			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			v	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	v			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			10
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 90</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

- Missing references and in-text citations
- The topic seems to be interesting and valuable to conference participants

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 018**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Binary Body Device**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 7+</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The abstract is well organized and clearly written. The idea of getting young academics to better understand their professional potentials through an acquaintance with design thinking is interesting and relevant.

The central issues: if one is limited in time, scope and participation: what are the essential features of intelligent, creative designerly thinking that should be addressed and how?

And: how to objectively assess the (potentially lasting) effects of such an initiative...?

Fun and insightful...!

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 018**

**Abstract/Paper Title: binary body device**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 85</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The project sounds very interesting and is of great interest to the DCA audience, particularly as it explicitly addresses STEM in historically underrepresented communities. The abstract is well written and nicely organized. Recommend accept. The author should reflect and discuss how inclusive and (if at all) self-directed the projects were for these students, and if they have testimony from students about learning outcomes, from their perspective, revealing that would be very good for the readers.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**