

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 019

Abstract/Paper Title: The implications of digital media on contemporary architectural practice – online platforms, design documents, and networked technology languages

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		v		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	v			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	v			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		v		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	v			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		v		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

- The purpose is not clear
- What is the research method used for discussing this topic?
- Missing overall conclusion
- Missing reference list
- Typos : for example, in the first sentence, “challenge architectural practice~~~”

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 019

Abstract/Paper Title: Implications of Digital Media: x3 Points

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			x	5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			7
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			x	5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			x	5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 5,5				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract discusses the issue of Digital Media in practice (and education) in a very broad way. On a philosophical level, several claims are made, but not substantiated.

What are the research questions and how would the issues which are touched upon be worked out in a full DCA Paper? This is not made sufficiently clear...

Serious doubts.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 019

Abstract/Paper Title: the implications of digital media...

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			X	2
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			X	2
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	2
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			X	2
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	2
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	2
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			X	2
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 23				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract is unnecessarily jargon filled and rambling, with no clear argument or basic evidence presented. The abstract needs a full overhaul, formatted in a conventional way, with clear descriptions of each section. Peppering a few unrelated quotes into the abstract without properly linking them to the content only serves to further confuse. There are too many basic typographic and grammatical errors and it is too difficult to discern a basic point the author is trying to make.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**