

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 051

Abstract/Paper Title: A Communication System in Urban Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			x	0 (missing)
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	0 (missing)
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 55				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract is interesting and pertinent to the conference theme. The parametric tool is interesting, but, in my opinion, in the abstract there is a simplification regarding the evaluation process, since visualize different solutions does not imply to take the right decision. I suggest to highlighted pros and cons of the proposed approach in the final text.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 51

Abstract/Paper Title: A Communication System in Urban Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 90				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Addresses conference topic. Clear approach in taking the “middle approach.” Very interested in the tool and the results.

Paper could present examples, precedents, existing research, comparisons, etc.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 051

Abstract/Paper Title: A Communication System in Urban Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 65				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback): Good idea. Need to account for further complexity. Urban form and systems such as social, economic and political dimensions are not addressed in the research method. Still it is a very interesting proposal worth exploring further.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**