ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 55

Abstract/Paper Title: A better indoor luminous environment by kinetic system in the elderly housing

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				Na
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				Na
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 70				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Does the research predict a process that correlates to a design communication paradigm?

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(x) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. Not Recommended

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 055

Abstract/Paper Title: A better indoor luminous environment by kinetic system in the elderly housing

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		x		4
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			x	3
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		4
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		x		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 46				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
The abstract did not state the design issues and questions that the paper intended to address. It mentioned "a fundamental limitation" of the "existing method to design." However, this limitation did not appear anywhere in the abstract. The abstract also did not provide the arguments that demonstrated the appropriateness of approaches of Metabolism and Archigram. It would be helpful to formulate the research questions and to state the relevance of the kinetic system.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

(X) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 55

Abstract/Paper Title: A better indoorluminous environment by kinetic system inthe elderly housing

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			V	1
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		V		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		V		4
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		V		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		V		4
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		V		4
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		V		3
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		V		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		V		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		V		3
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 39				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

It is not clear at all in what way this abstract responds the theme of the conference or the questions of representation and design communications.

The author mentions Archigram and Metabolism but does not make it clear how those influenced his / her research.

The abstract generally describes research / design ideas but does not make any critical points regarding the issues that would be of particular interest to the conference

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

(x) 3. **Not Recommended**