

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 58

Abstract/Paper Title: The drawings that saved our hospital: open community-based communication strategies, process and product of design.

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 89				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Including references for background info would help. Referencing background reading would be helpful.

As a case study, it would be interesting to also note Future directions for this research – such as “how can design and designers be recognized more as a valuable asset to the community decision process.” This case study is a step in that direction.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 58

Abstract/Paper Title: The drawings that saved our hospital: open community-based communication strategies, process and product of design.

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 60				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This paper raises an interesting question about the role of design communication to shape public health strategy and decision-making with implications beyond the project for other community-based projects. The value of the paper will be in demonstrating how design communication techniques can democratize decision-making. This aspect could be made clearer. In the full paper, the authors are encouraged to elaborate on the specific rationale for the choice of communication media/technique and the impact on the decision-making process.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 058

Abstract/Paper Title: The drawings that saved our hospital: open community-based communication strategies, process and product of design

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	NA
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	NA
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		6
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				6

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

There is no clue about the answers of the questions directed in the 2nd paragraph. The components of the topic such as *the participation, the design process, communication possibilities and the tools used, the typology in question, the local perception and policies* need to be linked to each other in a meaningful manner. Otherwise, unsatisfactory cohesion causes difficulty in comprehension of the case study and the main objectives of it.

How do we link urban design(ers) with attempt to improve health care through what appears to deal with interior or micro-level space? Do the graphics extend beyond the immediate urban environment of the hospital? Clarify these please.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**