

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 65

Abstract/Paper Title: HYPEROBJECT PLACE and FELTED THING

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 62				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

How is a place seen anew? Explain. The narrative is very general in description and does not explain place.

Very conceptual.

Hard to evaluate – two different “presentations” described, but not connected well.

What is the justification for using felt for the landscape as a material instead of other materials with their unique characteristics. And why stones? Could twigs from the river work also.

Difficult to follow.

Missing bibliography for references.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 65

Abstract/Paper Title: HYPEROBJECT PLACE and FELTED THING

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 77				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback): This paper and associated project should be of interest to the DCA audience. Based on the abstract it promises to be great example for how research can inform creative practice.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(x) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 065

Abstract/Paper Title: HYPEROBJECT PLACE and FELTED THING

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	NA
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				8

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

It is an interesting and timely paper. Discussing the space/place and its elements at this level, the paper, I believe that, will be great contribution to the conference, if it is developed fully/adequately including supporting ideas and arguments. Plus, addition of a reinforcing conclusion is essential.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended