

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 72

Abstract/Paper Title: Physical and Digital Hybrids: Design Approaches for a Digital Culture

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 88				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Missing references.

Developing personal/individual and workplace skills for dealing with communication inputs and outputs. This could be considered similar to the old-fashioned paper organization with the inbox and outbox.

Interesting topic.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 72

Abstract/Paper Title: Physical and Digital Hybrids

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 57				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The paper is intriguing and the question of architecture's relationship with technology holds potential. However, the paper can benefit from more specificity with respect to the primary questions raised by the authors and more details regarding how the questions will be answered.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 072

Abstract/Paper Title: The Ramifications of Teaching Design Studio Online

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		7
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				7

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

The paper is relevant to the theme, but not clear enough to judge. The research questions, which are not detailed and specific, might be interpreted in many very different ways.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended