

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 076

Abstract/Paper Title: An Experiment on Impact of Implemented Configurations on Visual Comfort Metrics of Responsive Façade Systems

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			X	3
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	3
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			X	3
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 62				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Topic does not seem to fit DCA conference

Previous research/literature is not referred in body text

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 76

Abstract/Paper Title: An Experiment on Impact of Implimented Configurations

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			X	3
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		3
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	3
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 54				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The author was not clear about what the outcomes were. There was no connection made to existing research through references or a bibliography. While an interesting topic, it is better suited to the BTES, SBSE or another building technology conference as written.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 76

Abstract/Paper Title: An Experiment on Impact of Implemented Configurations on Visual Comfort Metrics of Responsive Façade Systems

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	Y E S	Ne eds Wor k	N O	Pleas e rank by a
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			10
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:82				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The method could be more elaborated. The conclusions and findings are not clearly stated.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended