

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 077

Abstract/Paper Title: Integrating User-Centered Research Methods and Rapid Prototyping Techniques into Design Courses – A Case Study

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 90				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Well written

It seems interesting topic for DAC audiences

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 77

Abstract/Paper Title: Integrating User Centered Research

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			7
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 75				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

There are several papers that deal with rapid prototyping in design. This one seems to have a better handle on how to explore the implications of the process. The outcomes from the stated project are limited, but interesting. The abstract needs proofreading and editing before publication.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 77

Abstract/Paper Title: Integrating User-Centered Research Methods and Rapid Prototyping Techniques into Design Courses – A Case Study

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			10
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:85				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This was not a blind review. Author names were included in the abstract. This is a very detailed abstract where the intentions are clearly presented. The methods presented in the abstract seems very detailed especially for a single studio course. It would be interesting to see the outcomes of this study.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**