# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
## 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 085**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Drawing as the Common Thread: Uniting Four Courses in an Architecture Study-Abroad Program**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | | X | | 7 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | | X | 5 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 9 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | X | | 7 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | | X | 5 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | X | | 7 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | X | | 7 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | | X | 5 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | X | | 7 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | X | | 7 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 66** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

More previous research/literature will be helpful for understandig the research context

No research/ literature was referred in body text

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
**( )** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**(X )** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**()** 3. **Not Recommended**

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
## 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 85**

**Abstract/Paper Title:** Drawing as the Common Thread

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 9 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | X | | | 8 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 9 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | X | | | 8 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | X | | | 8 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | X | | 7 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | X | | | 9 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | X | | 7 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | X | | | 9 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | X | | | 10 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 84** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**
Sounds like a very interesting and engaging paper. The abstract is well written, but is vague in terms of research basis and methods.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( X ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
# 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 85**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Drawing as the Common Thread: Uniting Four Courses in an Architecture Study-Abroad Program**
Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | | | X | 3 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | | X | 2 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 9 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | | X | 3 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | | X | 3 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | | X | 1 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | X | | | 10 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | | X | 1 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | | X | 1 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | X | | 5 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows:38** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**
*Written in a very relaxed tone this paper lacks any scientific merit. It describes one educator's frustrations with design education, more specifically with the lack of hand sketching in current practices. However the need for hand sketching and any scientific background of hand sketching in design curriculums is not provided. There is nothing original nor innovative in this abstract.*

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

**( )** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**( )** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**( X)** 3. **Not Recommended**