ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 089

Abstract/Paper Title: 1:1_Digital_Hand_Materiality

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 78				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

More research/literature will be helpful for understandig the research context

It seems DAC audiences will have interests in this research

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. Not Recommended

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 88

Abstract/Paper Title: 1:1 Digital Hand Materiality

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 90				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
Interesting question. Very little discussion of back-up research. Method of investigation as described sounds interesting, but the linkages from one phase to the next are vague in reference to the initial question.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 89

Abstract/Paper Title: Digital_Hand_Materiality

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	2
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		6
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:62				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The author does not provide much information about the final product. This would add much value to the abstract.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(X) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**