

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 91

Abstract/Paper Title: Drawing Strength in Community: The Normal Station Park Project

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	√			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	√			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	√			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	√			6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	√			7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	√			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			√	1
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		√		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	√			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 71				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 91

Abstract/Paper Title: Drawing Strength in Community: The Normal Station Park Project

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
11. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
12. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
13. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
14. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				
15. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				
16. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				
17. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
18. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				
19. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	8
20. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:49				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract text is fine. The abstract illustrations are appropriate. The design results are disappointingly predictable, lacking originality.

Questions 4, 5, 6, 8 seem more appropriate to a formal paper than an abstract.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 091

Abstract/Paper Title: Drawing Strength in Community: The Normal Station Park Project

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
21. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			10
22. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			9
23. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			10
24. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			10
25. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
26. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		7
27. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			9
28. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
29. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			8
30. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 88				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The topic covers educational, and urban area as well as interdisciplinary and community collaborations. The brief summary of design education could be strengthened by linking to literature about design learning. The paper could be further enhanced if the student outcomes are linked to the educational model especially with the assessments for success

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**