

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 093

Abstract/Paper Title: (On the Forgrounding of Photographic biases in Architecture)

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes				8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.				8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.				10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				3
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				3
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.				5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.				5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees				5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 52				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Be direct and avoid [run-on] sentences

Support your argument with research

Be more critical in your study

The proposed paper is presented as a report vs. a research paper

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 093

Abstract/Paper Title: On the foregrounding of photographic biases in architecture

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 90				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Very interesting topic. Provides a unique way of looking at historical photographs and could provide insight into how we select views for design proposals in the future. Paper would be very interesting if the author includes both the workflow of how they are able to recreate the 3-dimensional models from photographs and a comparison between the different projects. Seems like it would be a very interesting presentation.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 93

Abstract/Paper Title: On the foregrounding of photographic biases in architecture

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a
1. Proposed abstract/paper		✓		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		✓		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		✓		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		✓		3
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		✓		3
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			✓	3
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	✓			5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			✓	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			✓	0
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		✓		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:		34		

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

It is unclear just what this paper might be about because there are no examples, no citations and little evidence that this topic has been thoroughly researched, only vague references to Urban Renewal and some interesting ideas of what might be done.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

() 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

(✓) 3. **Not Recommended**