

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 103

Abstract/Paper Title: Aligning Setting with Character in Urban Sketching

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	√			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	√			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		√		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		√		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	√			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	√			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	√			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		√		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	√			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

Interesting subject. There should be more academic writing for the text. this means, authors should define of abstract purpose, concept and methodology in a consecutively.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 103

Abstract/Paper Title: Aligning Setting with Character in Urban Sketching

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				8* not counted in tally
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:54				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This paper generally seems to follow the spirit of the conference theme. The abstract would be enhanced by sample graphic content.

Questions 4, 5, 6, 8 seem more appropriate to a formal paper than an abstract.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 103

Abstract/Paper Title: Aligning Setting with Character in Urban Sketching

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			x	6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			x	6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			x	6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		7
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:71				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract would benefit from further refinement of the research objectives and methodology. It is very hard to follow the relationship between the children's stories and the community participation. Examples of the mapping outcomes would help support the approach.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**