

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 109

Abstract/Paper Title: Ancestry, Heritage, Process and Product: Adaptive Intervention Architecture in Upstate NY

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		√		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	√			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	√			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		√		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		√		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	√			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		√		7
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	√			7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	√			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 76				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 109

Abstract/Paper Title: Ancestry, Heritage, Process and Product: Adaptive Intervention Architecture in Upstate NY

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:46				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This paper generally seems to follow the spirit of the conference them The abstract illustrations are appropriate.

Questions 4, 5, 6, 8 seem more appropriate to a formal paper than an abstract.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 109

**Abstract/Paper Title: Ancestry, Heritage, Process and Product: Adaptive Intervention
Architecture in Upstate NY**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes				8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			x	8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 84				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract states that it will explain the process and product of adaptive intervention architecture through a specific area of upstate New York. This topic fits well within several of the topic sessions listed for the conference. The overall submission would be enhanced by providing more evidence on the methods used for documentation and analysis of the area. For instance, the techniques used to research and define the existing context which would move it beyond context as site and provide something new to add to the analytical discussion as well as documentation of the area. Linking the project to pedagogical objectives or informational representation could also strengthen the submission.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**