

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 115

Abstract/Paper Title: Samuel Mockbee_Stories to Tell

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				Na
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				Na
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 70				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The reader should probably be able to infer more about the research methodology. What makes the work analytical and evidence-generating?

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(x) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 115

Abstract/Paper Title: Samuel Mockbee_Stories to Tell

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 87				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This paper would be a great contribution to this area of design. It could highlight the values of the design on its design terms rather than on its social impacts. It would be beneficial to outline the methodology through which the author(s) analyze Mockbee's drawings and other artifacts.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 115

Abstract/Paper Title: Samuel Mockbee. Stories to Tell. Representation as a Conduit between the Virtual and Actual

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		v		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		v		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	v			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		v		8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	v			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 81				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Sounds like very interesting research. It needs a more strongly and clearly stated critical purpose and conclusion. I am looking forward to the presentation

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**