

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 122

Abstract/Paper Title: Experiential Simulation and Psychological assessment as a learning process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				Na
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				Na
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 72				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Para 1: "...of people urban experience..." What is sentence structure here?

Para 2: Is there an omission of word "how" here?

Several instances of sentence number agreement (i.e., grammar) suggest work needed to strengthen the message.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 122

Abstract/Paper Title: Experiential Simulation and Psychological assessment as a learning process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			x	3
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			x	3
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			x	3
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			x	3
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			x	3
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	3
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			x	3
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		x		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 34				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract needs to identify and state clearly the issues in design communication that it intended to address. It lacked of any reference, whether references to well-established literature in design, in psychology, or in design education.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 122

Abstract/Paper Title: Experiential Simulation and Psychological assessment as a learning process in architectural higher education

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	v			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	v			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		v		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	v			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		v		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 79				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

I think this is going to be a strong paper. The author(s) don't give any specifics on the research that has been done to ground their arguments but they do promise to do that in the final paper...

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**