

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 124

Abstract/Paper Title: Applying UDL principles Course mapping for a Foundation year Art and Design course

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				Na
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				Na
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 67				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The audience for the conference is the design communication profession. How does the paper situate in that domain? Design for education?

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 124

Abstract/Paper Title: Applying UDL principles Course mapping for a Foundation year Art and Design course

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 63				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

While the topic in design education is very relevant and timely, the abstract needs to clarify the extent of "representation" that is intended to address. The discussion in the abstract seemed a rather vague. It could be clarified further to place it within the realm of design pedagogy. The abstract could also explain in short terminologies within the scope of its research.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 124

Abstract/Paper Title: Applying UDL principles:
Course mapping for a Foundation year Art and Design course

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme		v		6
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	v			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	v			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		v		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		v		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		v		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 70				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

It would be good if the abstract gave more specific information on what UDL is and more specifics on the outcomes of the experiment if. As it is it only describes in somewhat general terms what the paper is going to do and it is a bit vague. It does seem like it will be a pretty sound paper and presentation.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**