

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 125

Abstract/Paper Title: A Comparative Study of the Thermal performance of Conventional and Green Roofs in Islamabad

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			X	3
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				Na
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			X	2
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 35				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Is this relevant to design communication domain? Engineering and design focus is evident, and how does it influence the communication ideas?

Some grammatical attention needed.

Causation-based hypothesis may or may not be advised, because as the abstract now reads it seems a significantly large jump in logic without an interconnecting thread of ideas.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 125

Abstract/Paper Title: A Comparative Study of the Thermal performance of Conventional and Green Roofs in Islamabad

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		6
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			X	4
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	4
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	4
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	4
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		6
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 53				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

While the topic is important with regards to sustainability, it did not articulate the relevance to the area of design communication. It could be beneficial if the paper discuss methodology to construct the software in order to communicate data in more efficient and effective manner, along with challenges and opportunities. For example, relating this topic to literature from Tufte would be significant. It could be helpful if the paper discuss the impact of the way to communicate data and findings that would inform design-decision process.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 125

Abstract/Paper Title: A Comparative Study of the Thermal performance of Conventional and Green Roofs in Islamabad

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			v	1
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		v		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	v			4
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		v		4
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		v		4
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		v		4
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		v		4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		v		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 41				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Even though this abstract brings up important and (in general terms) interesting issues I did not find any connection with the issues that are central to DCA or the theme of the conference.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**