

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 138

Abstract/Paper Title: The Emerging Coworking Workplace: An Urban Perspective

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		✓		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		✓		8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	✓			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		✓		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	✓			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	✓			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	✓			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		✓		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		✓		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			✓	5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				71

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This abstract is better suited to a city planning or urban sociology conference, that said, if the work goes in the direction of designing spaces or visual communication of the research, it might make an interesting and relevant presentation.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 138

Abstract/Paper Title: the Emerging Coworking Workplace

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes				2
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.				0
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.				8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				2
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				0
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				0
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.				0
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.				0
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees				0
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 12				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Does not conform in any way to the conf. theme. Maybe the GIS part and that is not the focus of your proposed paper.

Good luck.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 138

Abstract/Paper Title: The Emerging Coworking Workplace: An Urban Perspective

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row,
1. Proposed abstract/pap		x		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 86				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback)

This is an interesting topic but it is unclear how it fits into the conference theme and in particular how it addresses the issue(s) of design communication. There is ample opportunity for this as the research appears to have abundant and rich data to work with—leading one to assume that much visualization work will be a part of the analysis and communication of that data and the subsequent conclusions.

The paper has great potential, but for it to make sense at the DCA (as opposed to being a paper at an ACSA conference), it will need to more clearly identify how it moves forward the research and application of the many design communication strategies that are now available. This will take a very interesting idea and the accompanying research data and make it of great value to the conference attendees.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**