ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 141

Abstract/Paper Title: Current Decline of Design Grammar during the Rise of the Digital Fabrication Era

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	√			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	√			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		√		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	√			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		√		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	√			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			√	3
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		√		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	√			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				81

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Sounds great, Do you have specific application methods? That is the difficult part. Define what work has been done by the author and expain the viable options. What projects/evidence are used to support the idea/thesis/claim? - Citations that support the work, properly formatted - A clear explanation of the main results - Conclusions of the work and the recommendations of the author

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(√) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 141

Abstract/Paper Title: Current Decline of Design Grammar

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		■		8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			■	8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	■			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			■	8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		■		0
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			■	3
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		■		8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			■	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			■	8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		■		8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 59				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Good topic and very timely. The problem I note is that the proposed paper does not exhibit any subjective research. Critical research is so important to support your argument or else it will read as an opinion.

Good luck.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(x) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 141

Abstract/Paper Title: Current Decline of Design Grammar during the Rise of the Digital Fabrication Era

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row,
1. Proposed abstract/pap	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 92				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback)

Overall a clear proposal although toward the end of the abstract, the sentence describing "The paper intends to demonstrate that…" was not clear. The sentence did not seem to state specifically what it would demonstrate. The author should clarify this. However, the basic premise and objectives of the paper are strong. I appreciate that the author is not stepping back into a discussion of whether hand or digital is good, but rather moving the conversation forward to address areas and topics that they believe are being left behind—even though they could be effectively integrated into the curriculum through the digital technology. I appreciate that the focus is on topics and content—with the method being only the means to refocus on those topics. This topic would be of interest to a larger number of educators.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(x) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**