ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 149

Abstract/Paper Title: Interrogating Virtual and Physical models | a study of Materials, Structure, and Light

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 74				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
The topic sounds interesting.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 149

Abstract/Paper Interrogating Virtual and Physical models | a study of Materials, Structure, and Light

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
Really good material for a good article

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(x) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 149

Abstract/Paper Title: interrogating virtual and physical…

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X		10	
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 60				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Physical models are still an integral part of most successful practices. While this craft has been integrated with digital modeling and fabrication, it would be good to frame this discussion more broadly, rather than setting up a 'straw-man' argument, and stating such a generalization. More evidence to support this simplistic argument would be useful.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(X) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**